

ON MATRIX VALUED SQUARE INTEGRABLE POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

HONGYU HE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study matrix valued positive definite functions on a unimodular group. We generalize two important results of Godement on L^2 positive definite functions to matrix valued L^2 positive definite functions. We show that a matrix-valued continuous L^2 positive definite function can always be written as a convolution of a L^2 positive definite function with itself. We also prove that, given two L^2 matrix valued positive definite functions Φ and Ψ , $\int_G \text{Trace}(\Phi(g)\overline{\Psi(g)}^t)dg \geq 0$. In addition this integral equals zero if and only if $\Phi * \Psi = 0$. Our proofs are operator-theoretic and independent of the group.

1. INTRODUCTION

About 60 years ago, Godement published a paper on square integrable positive definite functions on a locally compact group ([1]). In his paper, Godement proved that every *continuous* square integrable positive definite function has an L^2 -positive definite square root. He also proved, among others, that the inner product between two positive definite L^2 -functions must be nonnegative. Godement's results and proofs were quite elegant. The purpose of this paper is to extend Godement's theorem to matrix-valued positive definite functions on unimodular groups. Obviously, the diagonal of matrix-valued positive definite functions must all be positive definite. Yet, there is not much to say about the off-diagonal entries and their relationship with diagonal entries. So Godement's results do not carry trivially to the matrix-valued case. The generalization of Godement's result will likely involve revisiting and generalizing Godement's ideas to the matrix-valued case. This is what is done in this paper. We essentially examine Godement's argument as presented in [1] and Ch 13. of Dixmier [2] for matrix-valued positive definite functions. Necessary modifications are made. Our results, we believe, are new.

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of $n \times n$ matrices. For a matrix A , let $[A]_{ij}$ be the (i, j) -th entry of A . Let G be a unimodular group. A continuous function $\phi : G \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be *positive definite* if for any $\{\mathbf{C}_i \in \mathbb{C}^n\}_{i=1}^l$ and $\{x_i \in G\}_{i=1}^l$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^l (\mathbf{C}_i)^t \Phi(x_i^{-1}x_j) \overline{\mathbf{C}_j} \geq 0.$$

Take $x_1 = e$ and $x_2 = g$. The above inequality implies that $\Phi(g) = \overline{\Phi(g^{-1})}^t$ (See for example, Prop. 2.4.6 [6]). When $n = 1$, our definition agrees with the definition of continuous positive definite functions. We denote the set of continuous matrix-valued positive definite functions by $\mathcal{P}(G, M_n)$.

Definition 1. Let $L_{loc}^1(G, M_n)$ be the set of M_n -valued locally integrable functions on G . Let $\Phi \in L_{loc}^1(G, M_n)$ acts on $u \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ by $[\lambda(\Phi)(u)(x)]_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \int [\Phi(g)]_{ij} [u(g^{-1}x)]_j dg$. We will write

¹This research is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0700809.

²Key word: Positive definite function, unimodular group, moderated functions, square integrable functions, convolution algebra, unitary representations.

$\lambda(\Phi)(u)(x) = \int \Phi(g)u(g^{-1}x)dg$. Clearly, $\lambda(\Phi)u$ is continuous. We say that Φ is positive definite if

$$\langle \lambda(\Phi)(u), u \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G [\lambda(\Phi)u(g)]_i \overline{[u(g)]_i} dg \geq 0$$

for all $u \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

We denote the set of matrix-valued positive definite functions by $\mathbf{P}(G, M_n)$. Clearly, $\mathbf{P}(G, M_n) \supset \mathcal{P}(G, M_n)$ (see Prop 13.4.4 [2]).

Definition 2. A matrix-valued function $\Phi(x)$ is said to be square integrable, or simply L^2 if $[\Phi]_{ij}$ is in $L^2(G)$ for all (i, j) . We denote the set of matrix-valued square integrable function by $L^2(G, M_n)$. Define

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \int_G \text{Tr} \Phi \overline{\Psi}^t dg.$$

Put $\mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n) = L^2(G, M_n) \cap \mathcal{P}(G, M_n)$ and $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n) = L^2(G, M_n) \cap \mathbf{P}(G, M_n)$.

Let $\Phi, \Psi \in L^1_{loc}(G, M_n)$. Define the convolution

$$[\Phi * \Psi]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n [\Phi]_{ik} * [\Psi]_{kj}$$

whenever the latter is well-defined.

Theorem [A] Let G be a unimodular locally compact group. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then there exists a $\Psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\Phi = \Psi * \Psi$.

Theorem [B] Let G be a unimodular locally compact group. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle \geq 0$.

Theorem [C] Let G be a unimodular locally compact group. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\Phi * \Psi = 0$.

Our motivation comes from the theory of unitary representations of Lie groups. There are representations that appear as a space of “invariant distributions” in a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$. To construct a Hilbert inner product for the invariant distributions, one is often led to investigate whether

$$(1) \quad \int_G (\pi(g)u, u) dg \geq 0$$

when $(\pi(g)u, u)$ is L^1 , $u \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ and G is unimodular. This problem is originally brought out in Gode-ment’s thesis [1]. Now it is known that for G amenable, the Inequality (1) is always true (Prop 18.3.6 [2]). An amenable group is characterized by the fact that the unitary dual is weakly contained in $L^2(G)$. Now consider the other extreme, namely, G semisimple and noncompact. The inequality (1) is false in its full generality. Yet, applying the result of this paper, we show that Inequality (1) holds if $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ can be written as a tensor product of two L^2 -representations of G and u is finite in the tensor decomposition. In Cor. 2, we give a result about a certain integral related to Howe’s correspondence ([5]). It is more general than the results given in [4].

I should mention that matrix-valued positive definite functions have been studied for special classes of locally compact groups, mostly Abelian groups. I would like to thank Prof. Kadison for his lectures given at LSU in the Spring of 2008, for stimulating conversations and for encouraging me to write this down.

2. CONVOLUTION ALGEBRAS

A matrix-valued function on G is said to be in L^p if each entry is in $L^p(G)$. Let $\Phi \in L^1(G, M_n)$. Define $\|\Phi\|_{L^1} = \sum \|\Phi_{ij}\|_{L^1}$. Then $L^1(G, M_n)$ becomes a Banach algebra. We have

$$L^1(G, M_n) * L^1(G, M_n) \subseteq L^1(G, M_n); \quad C_c(G, M_n) * C_c(G, M_n) \subseteq C_c(G, M_n).$$

For each $u, v \in L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, define the standard inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_G \sum_i [u(g)]_i \overline{[v(g)]_i} dg$. obviously $L^1(G, M_n)$ acts on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then map

$$\lambda : L^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n))$$

defines a bounded Banach algebra isomorphism. Notice that if $\Phi \in L^2(G, M_n)$ and $u \in L^1(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, then $\lambda(\Phi)u \in L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

We define the $*$ operation on $L_{loc}(G, M_n)$ by letting

$$[\Phi^*(g)]_{ij} = \overline{[\Phi(g^{-1})]_{ji}}.$$

For $u, v \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, we have $\langle \lambda(\Phi)u, v \rangle = \langle u, \lambda(\Phi^*)v \rangle$. If Φ is positive definite, then

$$\langle \lambda(\Phi)u, u \rangle = \overline{\langle \lambda(\Phi)u, u \rangle} = \langle u, \lambda(\Phi)u \rangle = \langle \lambda(\Phi^*)u, u \rangle.$$

So $\langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)u, u \rangle = 0$. Let $u = v + tw$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Then

$$0 = \langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)(v + tw), v + tw \rangle = \langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)v, tw \rangle + \langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)tw, v \rangle.$$

We obtain $\langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)v, w \rangle = \langle \lambda(-\Phi + \Phi^*)w, v \rangle = \langle w, \lambda(-\Phi^* + \Phi)v \rangle = \overline{\langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)v, w \rangle}$. Hence $\langle \lambda(\Phi - \Phi^*)v, w \rangle$ must be real for all $v, w \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. It follows that $\Phi^* = \Phi$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then $\Phi = \Phi^*$, $\Psi = \Phi^*$ and $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \text{Trace}(\Phi * \Psi(e))$.*

Proof: Our lemma follows from

$$(2) \quad \text{Trace}(\Phi * \Psi(e)) = \sum_{i,j} \int_G [\Phi]_{ij}(g) [\Psi]_{ji}(g^{-1}) dg = \sum_{i,j} \int_G [\Phi]_{ij}(g) \overline{[\Psi]_{ij}(g)} dg = \int_G \text{Tr} \Phi(g) \overline{\Psi(g)}^t dg = \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle.$$

Definition 3. *Let $\Phi \in L^2(G, M_n)$. We say that Φ is moderated if $\lambda(\Phi)$ on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a bounded operator in the L^2 norm, i.e., there is a M such that*

$$\|\lambda(\Phi)u\| \leq M\|u\|$$

for any $u \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

When Φ is moderated, $\lambda(\Phi)|_{C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)}$ can be extended to a bounded operator on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ which coincides with $\lambda(\Phi)|_{L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)}$. To see this, let $u_i \rightarrow u$ under the L^2 -norm with $u_i \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then $\lambda(\Phi)u_i$ converges as a Cauchy sequence to $v \in L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ since $\lambda(\Phi)$ is bounded. In particular, $(\lambda(\Phi)u_i)(g)$ converges in L^2 -norm to $v(g)$ on any compact subset K . On the other hand, $(\lambda(\Phi)u_i)(g)$ converges to $\lambda(\Phi)u$ uniformly on G , in particular, on K . So $(\lambda(\Phi)u)(g) = v(g)$ for $g \in K$ almost everywhere. It follows that $v(g) = \lambda(\Phi)u(g)$ almost everywhere. So $\lambda(\Phi)u \in L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. In short, if Φ is moderated, and $u \in L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, then $\lambda(\Phi)u \in L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. The following is obvious.

Lemma 2. *Φ is moderated if and only if $[\Phi]_{ij}$ are all moderated in $L^2(G)$.*

Let $M(G, M_n)$ be the space of moderated L^2 functions on G . Lemma 13.8.4 [2] asserts that $M(G) * M(G) \subseteq M(G)$ and $\lambda|_{M(G)} : M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is an algebra homomorphism. Therefore, we obtain

Lemma 3. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in L^2(G, M_n)$. If Φ and Ψ are moderated, then $\Phi * \Psi$ is also moderated. In addition $\lambda(\Phi * \Psi) = \lambda(\Phi)\lambda(\Psi)$.*

So $M(G, M_n)$ is a Hilbert algebra.

3. POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

Let us recall some basic result from [2]. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathbf{P}(G, M_n)$. We define an ordering $\Phi \preceq \Psi$ if $\Psi - \Phi \in \mathbf{P}(G, M_n)$. For two bounded operator X and Y in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we say that $X \preceq Y$ if $Y - X$ is positive (Ch 2.4 [6]). $Y - X$ is positive implies that $Y - X$ is self-adjoint (Prop. 2.4.6 [6]).

Theorem 1 (Prop. 16 [1] and 13.8.5, 13.8.4 [2]). *Let Φ, Ψ be two moderated elements in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Suppose that $\Phi * \Psi = \Psi * \Phi$. Then $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle \geq 0$. Let*

$$\Phi_1 \preceq \Phi_2 \preceq \dots \preceq \Phi_n \preceq \dots$$

be an increasing sequence of moderated positive definite functions in $L^2(G)$. Suppose that Φ_i mutually commute. If $\sup \|\Phi_i\|_{L^2} < \infty$, then $\Phi = \lim \Phi_i$ exists in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$.

Proof: $\Phi * \Psi = \Psi * \Phi$ implies that $\lambda(\Phi)\lambda(\Psi) = \lambda(\Psi)\lambda(\Phi)$ as bounded operators on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Since $\lambda(\Phi)$ and $\lambda(\Psi)$ are both positive, they must be self-adjoint. So $\lambda(\Phi)\lambda(\Psi)$ must be positive and self-adjoint. In other words, $\lambda(\Phi * \Psi)$ is positive on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. In particular, it is positive with respect to $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence $\Phi * \Psi$, as a matrix-valued continuous function, is positive definite. $\Phi * \Psi(e)$ must be a positive semi-definite matrix. By Lemma (1)

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \text{Trace}(\Phi * \Psi)(e) \geq 0.$$

For $j \geq i$, notice that $\|\Phi_j\|^2 = \|\Phi_i\|^2 + \langle \Phi_i, \Phi_j - \Phi_i \rangle + \langle \Phi_j - \Phi_i, \Phi_i \rangle + \|\Phi_j - \Phi_i\|^2 \geq \|\Phi_i\|^2$. The sequence $\{\|\Phi_i\|\}$ is an increasing sequence with limit $\sup \|\Phi\|$. In particular, it is a Cauchy sequence. Notice that for $j \geq i$ $\|\Phi_j - \Phi_i\|^2 \leq \|\Phi_j\|^2 - \|\Phi_i\|^2$. This implies that $\{\Phi_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(G, M_n)$. Let Φ be the L^2 -limit of $\{\Phi_i\}$. For every $u \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, since convolution with a compactly supported continuous function is bounded on $L^2(G)$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \langle \lambda(\Phi_i)u, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle \lambda(\Phi)u, u \rangle.$$

It follows that Φ is positive definite. $\square$

Theorem 2 (Thm. 17 [1], Theorem 13.8.6 [2]). *Suppose that Φ is a moderated element in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\Phi \preceq \Theta$ with Θ a continuous positive definite function. Then there is a moderate element Ψ in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\Phi = \Psi * \Psi$ in L^2 . In particular, Φ equals a continuous positive definite function almost everywhere and $\|\Psi\|^2 \leq \text{Tr}(\Theta(e))$.*

In particular, if Φ is continuous, its square root Ψ exists.

The proof is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 13.8.6 in [2]. The original idea of Godement is to construct an increasing sequence of positive definite moderated elements Ψ_k in $L^2(G, M_n)$ that approaches the square root. In Dixmier's book, $\Psi_k = \|\lambda(\Phi)\| p_k(\frac{\Phi}{\|\lambda(\Phi)\|})$. Here $\{p_k(t)\}$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative polynomials on $[0, 1]$ such that $p_k(t) \rightarrow \sqrt{t}$ on $[0, 1]$ and $p_k(0) = 0$. Essentially, we will have $\Psi_k \preceq \Psi_{k+1}$ and $\Psi_k * \Psi_k \preceq \Phi \preceq \Theta$. By taking the value at e , we have $\text{Trace}(\Psi_k * \Psi_k(e)) \leq \text{Trace}(\Theta(e))$. By Lemma (1), $\|\Psi_k\|$ is bounded by $\sqrt{\text{Tr}(\Theta(e))}$. Since Ψ_k mutually commute, by Theorem 1, the L^2 -limit of Ψ_k exists. Put $\Psi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_k$. Our assertion then follows. $\square$

4. SQUARE ROOTS: PROOF OF THEOREM A

Now we would like to give a proof of Theorem A. Our proof is somewhat different from the proof of Theorem 13.8.6 given in [2]. The basic idea is the same, namely, to construct a sequence of moderated continuous positive definite functions $\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi$. Let Ψ_k be the square root of Φ_k . Then the square root of Φ can be obtained as the L^2 -limit of Ψ_k . The construction is canonical. In our proof, the continuity

of Φ_k is given by Theorem 2. We do not use Cor. 13.7.11 in [2] which require several more pages of argument. We also wish to point out in the scalar case $\lambda(\Phi_k)$ acts on $L^2(G)$ and in our case $\lambda(\Phi_k)$ acts on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ not on $L^2(G, M_n)$.

Fix an $x \in G$. Let $\rho(x)$ act on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ by $(\rho(x)u)(g) = u(gx)$. $\rho(x)$ is a unitary operator on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. If $\Phi \in L_{loc}(G, M_n)$, then

$$(3) \quad \rho(x)\lambda(\Phi)\rho(x^{-1}) = \lambda(\Phi)$$

on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Let $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then $\lambda(\Phi)$ is a positive symmetric operator densely define on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, by the definition of positive definiteness of Φ . Let $\Lambda(\Phi)$ be the Friedrichs extension of $\lambda(\Phi)$. Then $\Lambda(\Phi)$ is an (unbounded) positive and self-adjoint operator. By Equation (3), we must have $\rho(x)\Lambda(\Phi)\rho(x^{-1}) = \Lambda(\Phi)$.

Let $\Lambda(\Phi) = \int_0^\infty t dP_t$ be the spectral decomposition. Here P_t is an increasing sequence of mutually commutative projections on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then $\rho(x)\Lambda(\Phi)\rho(x^{-1}) = \int_0^\infty t d[\rho(x)P_t\rho(x^{-1})]$. Notice here that $\rho(x)$ is unitary. Hence $\rho(x)P_t\rho(x^{-1})$ remains a projection. The uniqueness of the spectral decomposition of self-adjoint operators implies that $\rho(x)P_t\rho(x^{-1}) = P_t$. Since P_t is bounded, we have $\rho(x)P_t = P_t\rho(x)$ for any $x \in G$.

Define Φ_t by letting the j -th column vector to be $[\Phi_t]_{*j} = P_t[\Phi]_{*j}$. Clearly $\Phi_t \in L^2(G, M_n)$.

Claim 1: $\lambda(\Phi_t) = P_t\lambda(\Phi)$ on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof: Let $u \in C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then

$$[\lambda(\Phi)u](g) = \sum_j \int_{x \in G} [\Phi]_{*j}(gx^{-1})[u]_j(x)dx = \sum_j \int_{x \in G} (\rho(x^{-1})[\Phi]_{*j})(g)[u]_j(x)dx.$$

Now fixing x , we have

$$(P_t\rho(x^{-1})[\Phi]_{*j})(g) = (\rho(x^{-1})P_t[\Phi]_{*j})(g) = (P_t[\Phi]_{*j})(gx^{-1}).$$

Since P_t is a bounded operator and $[u]_j(x)$ are all in $L^1(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [P_t(\lambda(\Phi)u)](g) &= \sum_j P_t \int (\rho(x^{-1})[\Phi]_{*j})(g)[u]_j(x)dx \\ &= \sum_j \int P_t(\rho(x^{-1})[\Phi]_{*j})(g)[u]_j(x)dx \\ &= \sum_j \int (P_t[\Phi]_{*j})(gx^{-1})[u]_j(x)dx \\ (4) \quad &= \int \sum_j ([\Phi_t]_{*j})(gx^{-1})[u]_j(x)dx \\ &= \int \Phi_t(gx^{-1})u(x)dx \\ &= (\lambda(\Phi_t)u)(g) \end{aligned}$$

Our claim is proved. Observe that $P_t\lambda(\Phi) = P_t\Lambda(\Phi)$ on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $P_t\Lambda(\Phi)$ is positive and bounded. Therefore $\lambda(\Phi_t) = P_t\lambda(\Phi)$ is bounded on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and positive with respect to $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. So Φ_t is

moderated and positive definite. We must have $\lambda(\Phi_t) = P_t \Lambda(\phi)$ on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. In addition if $s \geq t$

$$\lambda(\Phi_s - \Phi_t) = (P_s - P_t) \Lambda(\Phi)$$

on $C_c(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and the right hand side is positive and self adjoint. So $\Phi_t \preceq \Phi_s$. Similarly $\Phi_t \preceq \Phi$. Thus we have obtained an increasing sequence of moderated positive definite functions

$$\Phi_1 \preceq \Phi_2 \preceq \dots \preceq \Phi_k \preceq \dots \preceq \Phi.$$

Due to the way $[\Phi_k]_{*j}$ are defined, $\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi$ in L^2 -norm. As a by product, we have

Lemma 4 (Prop 14 [1]). *Every $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ is a L^2 -limit of an increasing sequence of mutually commutative moderated elements in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$.*

By Theorem 2, there is a moderated element $\Psi_i \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\Phi_i = \Psi_i * \Psi_i$ almost everywhere. Consequently, $\lambda(\Phi_i) = \lambda(\Psi_i)^2$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\Phi_i = \Psi_i * \Psi_i$. Since $\lambda(\Phi_i)$ mutually commutes and $\lambda(\Phi_i)$ increases, $\lambda(\Psi_i)$ must mutually commute and $\lambda(\Psi_i)$ must also increase. It follows that Ψ_i commutes with each other and

$$\Psi_1 \preceq \Psi_2 \preceq \dots \preceq \Psi_i \preceq \dots$$

Observe that $\|\Psi_i\|^2 = \text{Tr}(\Psi_i * \Psi_i(e)) \leq \text{Tr}(\Phi(e))$. By Theorem 1, $\{\Psi_i\}$ converges in $L^2(G, M_n)$. Let $\Psi_i \rightarrow \Psi$ in $L^2(G, M_n)$. Then $\Phi_i = \Psi_i * \Psi_i$ converges uniformly to $\Psi * \Psi$. Since $\Phi_i|_K \rightarrow \Phi|_K$ in $L^2(K, M_n)$ for any compact set K , $\Phi|_K = \Psi * \Psi|_K$ almost everywhere. So $\Phi = \Psi * \Psi$. Theorem A is proved.

5. NONNEGATIVE INTEGRAL: PROOF OF THEOREM B

The main idea of the proof here is essentially due to Godement. See Prop.18 in [1].

Lemma 5. *Every Φ in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ is a limit of an increasing sequence of moderated elements in $\mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$ under the L^2 norm.*

Proof: By Lemma 4, it suffices to show that every moderated element Φ in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ is the L^2 limit of an increasing sequence of moderated elements in $\mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\|\lambda(\Phi)\| = 1$. Let $q_k(t)$ be an increasing sequence of nonnegative polynomial functions on $[0, 1]$ such that $t^2|q_k(t)$ and $q_k(t) \rightarrow t$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. Let $\Phi_k = q_k(\Phi)$. Then $\lambda(\Phi_k) = q_k(\lambda(\Phi))$ is an increasing sequence of positive self-adjoint operators that approaches $\lambda(\Phi)$. In addition, $\lambda(\Phi_k)$, $\lambda(\Phi)$ all mutually commute. Since $\lambda(\Phi_k) \preceq \lambda(\Phi)$, $(\lambda(\Phi_k))^2 \preceq (\lambda(\Phi))^2$. So $\Phi_k * \Phi_k \preceq \Phi * \Phi$. This implies $\text{Tr}(\Phi_k * \Phi_k(e)) \leq \text{Tr}(\Phi * \Phi(e))$. So $\|\Phi_k\| \leq \|\Phi\|$. By Theorem 1, let Ψ be the L^2 -limit of Φ_k . For any $u \in C_c(G, M_n)$, $\lambda(\Psi)u = \lim \lambda(\Phi_k)u = \lambda(\Phi)u$. It follows that $\Psi = \Phi$ almost everywhere. So $\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi$ in L^2 -norm.

Observe that $\Phi * \Phi$ is always continuous. So $\Phi_k = q_k(\Phi)$ is always continuous. Φ_k is obviously moderated. We have obtained an increasing sequence of moderated elements in $\mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi$ in L^2 -norm. $\square$

Now we shall give a proof of Theorem B. We will first show that

Lemma 6. *Let Φ_1 be a moderated element in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ and $\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$. We have*

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\Phi_2 = \Psi * \Psi$ with $\Psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle = \text{Tr}(\Phi_1 * \Phi_2(e)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi_1 * \Psi * \Psi(e)) = \text{Tr}(\lambda(\Phi_1) \Psi * \Psi(e)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \lambda(\Phi_1) [\Psi]_{*i}, [\Psi]_{*i} \rangle.$$

Notice that $\lambda(\Phi_1)$ is a bounded positive self adjoint operator. We have $\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle \geq 0$.

Now for $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Let Φ_α be a sequence of moderated element in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ with L^2 -limit Φ and Ψ_β be a sequence of elements in $\mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$ with L^2 -limit Ψ . Then we have

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_\alpha, \Psi_\beta \rangle \geq 0.$$

Theorem B is proved.

6. ZERO INTEGRAL

If $\Phi * \Psi = 0$, we have $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \text{Tr}(\Phi * \Psi(e)) = 0$. Now we would like to show that the converse is also true.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a unimodular locally compact group. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. If $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0$, then $\Phi * \Psi = 0$.*

Proof: By Lemma 4, let Φ_m be an increasing sequence of moderated elements in $\mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\|\Phi_m - \Phi\| \leq \frac{1}{m}$. By Lemma 5, let Ψ_p be an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{P}^2(G, M_n)$ such that $\|\Psi_p - \Psi\| \leq \frac{1}{p}$. Then

$$0 = \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \langle \Phi - \Phi_m, \Psi \rangle + \langle \Phi_m, \Psi \rangle \geq \langle \Phi_m, \Psi \rangle \geq \langle \Phi_m, \Psi_p \rangle \geq 0.$$

So all the inequalities here must be equalities. Suppose that $\Psi_p = \Theta_p * \Theta_p$ with $\Theta_p \in \mathbf{P}^2(G, M_n)$. Then

$$0 = \langle \Phi_m, \Psi_p \rangle = \text{Trace}(\Phi_m * \Theta_p * \Theta_p(e)) = \sum_i \langle \lambda(\Phi_m)[\Theta_p]_{*i}, [\Theta_p]_{*i} \rangle$$

Since $\lambda(\Phi_m)$ is a positive operator on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $\langle \lambda(\Phi_m)[\Theta_p]_{*i}, [\Theta_p]_{*i} \rangle = 0$. So $\lambda(\Phi_m)[\Theta_p]_{*i} = 0$ in $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. So $\Phi_m * \Theta_p = 0$. Hence $\Phi_m * \Psi_p = \Phi_m * \Theta_p * \Theta_p = 0$. It follows that for any fixed $g \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} |[\Phi * \Psi(g)]_{ij}| &\leq \sum_{i,j} |[(\Phi - \Phi_m) * \Psi(g)]_{ij}| + \sum_{i,j} |[\Phi_m * \Psi(g)]_{ij}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} |[(\Phi - \Phi_m) * \Psi(g)]_{ij}| + \sum_{i,j} |[\Phi_m * (\Psi - \Psi_p)(g)]_{ij}| \\ (5) \quad &\leq n^2 \|\Phi - \Phi_m\| \|\Psi\| + n^2 \|\Phi_m\| \|\Psi - \Psi_p\| \\ &\leq n^2 \frac{1}{m} \|\Psi\| + n^2 \frac{1}{p} (\|\Phi\| + \frac{1}{m}) \end{aligned}$$

We see that as $m, p \rightarrow \infty$, $\sum_{i,j} |[\Phi * \Psi(g)]_{ij}| = 0$. Therefore $\Phi * \Psi(g) = 0$ for all g .

Corollary 1. *Let G be a locally compact unimodular group. Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{P}^2(G)$. If $\int_G \phi \bar{\psi} dg = 0$, then $\phi * \psi = 0$.*

7. APPLICATIONS IN REPRESENTATION THEORY

Let G be a unimodular group. We call a unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G L^2 if there is a cyclic vector u in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $(\pi(g)u, u)$ is L^2 . A L^2 unitary representation has a G -invariant dense subspace with L^2 -matrix coefficients.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a unimodular locally compact group and $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of G . Suppose that $(\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $(\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2)$ are two L^2 -unitary representations of G such that*

$$(\pi, \mathcal{H}) = (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \mathcal{H}_1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_2).$$

Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_1^{(i)} \otimes u_2^{(i)}$ such that matrix coefficients with respect to $\{u_1^{(i)}\}$ and $\{u_2^{(i)}\}$ are all L^2 . Then

$$\int_G (\pi(g)u, u)dg = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\pi_1(g)u_1^{(i)}, u_1^{(j)}) (\pi_2(g)u_2^{(i)}, u_2^{(j)}) dg \geq 0.$$

Observe that Φ_1 defined by $[\Phi_1]_{ij} = (\pi_1(g)u_1^{(i)}, u_1^{(j)})$ is square integrable and positive definite. Similarly, $\Phi_2 \in L^2(G, M_n)$ defined by $[\Phi_2]_{ij} = (u_2^{(i)}, \pi_2(g)u_2^{(j)})$ is square integrable and positive definite. This theorem follows easily from Theorem B. $\square$

Now we shall apply our result to Howe's correspondence ([5]). Let $(G(m), G'(n))$ be a dual reductive pair in Sp . Let $(G'(n_1), G'(n_2))$ be two G' -groups diagonally embedded in $G'(n)$ with $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Then $(G(m), G'(n_i))$ is a dual reductive pair in some $\widetilde{Sp^{(i)}}$ such that $(Sp^{(1)}, Sp^{(2)})$ are diagonally embedded in Sp . Let ω_i be the oscillator representation of $\widetilde{Sp^{(i)}}$. Let ω be the oscillator representation of Sp . Then ω can be identified with $\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$. This identification preserves that actions of $G(m)$ and $G'(n_i)$.

Now suppose that the matrix coefficients of $\omega_1|_{G(m)}$ with respect to the Schwartz space are L^2 . Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $\tilde{G}(m)$. Suppose that the matrix coefficients for $\omega_2^\infty|_{\tilde{G}(m)} \otimes \pi^\infty$ are all square integrable. Then for any $v \in \pi^\infty$, $u_1^{(j)} \in \omega_1^\infty$, $u_2^{(j)} \in \omega_2^\infty$ with $j \in [1, N]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \int_{\tilde{G}(m)} (\pi(g)(\sum u_1^{(j)} \otimes u_2^{(j)}), (\sum u_1^{(k)} \otimes u_2^{(k)}))(v, \pi(g)v) dg \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \int_{\tilde{G}(m)} (\pi_1(g)u_1^{(j)}, u_1^{(k)}) (\pi_2(g)u_2^{(j)}, u_2^{(k)})(v, \pi(g)v) dg. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4, this integral must be nonnegative.

Corollary 2. *Consider a dual reductive pair $(G(m), G'(n))$ in Sp . Let $n = n_1 + n_2$. Let $(G(m), G'(n_i))$ be a dual reductive pair in $Sp^{(i)}$. Let ω_i be the oscillator representation of $Sp^{(i)}$. Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $\tilde{G}(m)$. Suppose that the matrix coefficients with respect to $\omega_1^\infty|_{\tilde{G}(m)}$ and $\omega_2^\infty|_{\tilde{G}(m)} \otimes \pi^\infty$ are square integrable. Let $\xi \in \omega_1^\infty \otimes \omega_2^\infty$ and $u \in \pi^\infty$, then*

$$\int_{\tilde{G}(m)} (\omega(g)\xi, \xi)(\pi(g)u, u)dg \geq 0.$$

See [3] and [4] for the importance of this integral in Howe's correspondence. This Corollary holds for both p-adic groups and real groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Godement, "Les fonctions de type positif et la thorie des groupes," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 63, (1948). 1-84
- [2] J. Dixmier, *C*-Algebra*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1969.
- [3] H. He, "Theta Correspondence I-Semistable Range: Construction and Irreducibility", *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* (Vol 2), 2000, (255-283).
- [4] H. He, "Unitary representations and theta correspondence for type I classical groups," *J. Funct. Anal.* 199 (2003), no. 1, 92-121
- [5] R. Howe, "Transcending Classical Invariant Theory", *Journal of Amer. Math. Soc.*(Vol. 2), 1989 (535-552).
- [6] R. Kadison and Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, Academic Press, 1983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803
E-mail address: hongyu@math.lsu.edu